Ketene vs. Oxacarbene Formation in the Photolysis of Tricyclic Ketones Incorporating the 2-Norbornanone System

By JANET C. L. TAM and PETER YATES*

(Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1)

Summary Evidence is presented that the unusual formation of ketene-derived products in the photolysis of certain tricyclic ketones incorporating the 2-norbornanone system results from conformational constraints in the biradical formed by α -cleavage that facilitate the hydrogen abstraction process leading to ketene formation.

In the preceding Communication¹ we have reported that irradiation of 6-endo-methoxycarbonyl camphor in MeOH gives, as the major product, a mixture of epimeric acetals formed from the oxacarbene (1) via the biradical (2), together with a diester (6%) derived from the ketene (3), which is also formed via (2). This is in contrast to the earlier observation of Meinwald et al.² that irradiation of the tricyclic ketone, carvonecamphor (4), where structural features prohibit enal formation, gives the ketene-derived compound (5) (70%) unaccompanied by any oxacarbenederived product.[†] This anomaly could be accounted for in terms of the nature of the biradical (6) formed by α -cleavage of (4). Because of the conformational constraints imposed by the four-membered ring, the hydrogen abstraction process leading to ketene formation should be greatly favoured in comparison with a simple 2-norbornanone, because the conformational mobility is reduced, and in the conformation leading to the ketene (6) the hydrogen atom undergoing abstraction approaches the alkyl radical more closely [cf. (6) vs. (7)].‡





Scheme

in the cyclobutane ring, we synthesized the tricyclic ketones $(8)^3$ and $(9)^4$ (Scheme) and examined their photochemistry.§

Irradiation of (8) in MeOH in the presence of NaHCO₈ gave the ketene-derived product (10) which was analogous to (5), and was the only product formed by cleavage of the C(1)-C(2) bond. The product (10) was however formed in a small amount only, the major product being the oxacarbene-derived acetals (11) formed by cleavage of the C(2)-C(3) bond; oxidation of this mixture with chromic acid gave the lactone (12), which was also obtained by Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of (8). We attribute this unusual regioselectivity to the circumstance that cleavage at the more highly substituted α -position, which would normally be expected,⁵ is inhibited since the resulting alkyl radical is situated at the C(7) position of a norbornyl system.⁶ A similar interpretation can account for the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of (8). Although the only product from C(2)-C(3)cleavage was oxacarbene derived as anticipated,¹ and the only product from C(1)-C(2) cleavage was ketene derived



To test this hypothesis and to exclude the possibility that the effect is caused by some special feature of the bonding

in accord with our present interpretation, the latter was obtained only in a small amount. We therefore examined the ketone (9), where the tertiary nature of the alkyl radical formed by cleavage of the C(1)-C(2) bond would be expected to override the destabilization referred to above, as

in the case of (4). Irradiation of (9) in MeOH resulted in exclusive cleavage of the C(1)-C(2) bond and gave only the ketene-derived product (13). We conclude that the unusual formation of such products from the ketones (4), (8), and (9), is attributable to favourable conformational

factors for hydrogen abstraction by the alkyl radical of the type proposed above.

We thank the National Research Council of Canada for support.

(Received, 12th June 1975; Com. 668.)

† A deliberate search for oxacarbene-derived products in the reaction mixture failed to reveal their presence.

‡ Oxacarbene formation is subject to similar conformational factors; however, it is anticipated that these factors will be considerably more important in the case of the five-membered cyclic transition state involved in ketene formation.

§ New compounds were characterized by elemental analysis and i.r., ¹H n.m.r., and mass spectroscopy.

¹ P. Yates and J. C. L. Tam, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., preceding communication.

² J. Meinwald and R. A. Schneider, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5218; J. Meinwald, R. A. Schneider, and A. F. Thomas, *ibid.*, 1967, 89, 70; T. Gibson and W. F. Erman, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 3028. ³ Cf. G. Brieger and D. R. Anderson, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 243; A. Nickon, F. Huang, R. Weglein, K. Matsuo, and H. Yagi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 5264. ⁴ Cf. S. Beckmann and H. Geiger, Chem. Ber., 1961, 94, 48; J. K. Chakrabarti, S. S. Szinai, and A. Todd, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1970, 1900

1303.

⁵ G. Quinkert, Pure Appl. Chem., 1964, 9, 607; cf. P. Yates and R. O. Loutfy, Accounts Chem. Res., 1975, 8, 209.

⁶ Cf. C. Rüchardt, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1970, 9, 830.